## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	District of Nevada
United States of America v.  LATONIA SMITH  Defendant	) ) Case No. 2:19-mj-0847-BNW )
ORDER OF D	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I	- Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
	y pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or rt's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
	letention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact	and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination and the community because the following co  (1) the defendant is charged with one  (a) a crime of violence, a violation is 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum of the maximu	of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): on of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. aximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or mum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the J.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act peter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or so been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal inbination of such offenses; or ise a crime of violence but involves: ssion of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); n; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
	convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. tense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise and
committed while the defendant was or (4) a period of not more than five year	n (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was n release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> rs has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the e offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16	Order of Detention	Pending Trial
--------------------	--------------------	---------------

■ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
presumption and the other ractors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment

## Case 2:19-cr-00304-RFB-VCF Document 17 Filed 11/08/19 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The defendant is ordered detained as a danger to the community as that term is defined by The Bail Reform Act for the following reasons: While the weight of the evidence is the least significant factor for the Court to consider, it is a factor that should nonetheless be reviewed as required by The Bail Reform Act. The Court is troubled by the information that it has received in this case, particularly that the defendant traveled to Reno, NV from Las Vegas, NV to encounter one of the victims. Whether she forced her way into his apartment or whether she knocked him to the ground or not isn't being taken into account as much as the fact that it appears she pointed what appeared to be a Glock 17 at him on Halloween night when he would be much more willing to open his door to anyone, which seems like a calculated move on the part of the defendant. Further, this happened after the United States Postal Inspector talked to the defendant, after two different Temporary Protection Orders were filed against her. It appears that the defendant's conduct has been slowly but surely escalating to a point which culminated with a barracade sitution on November 1, 2019. The Court agrees with defense counsel that people can react poorly when confronted with something they do not believe they are guilty of, however that is not a justification to barracade themselves and it is of concern to the Court because it may be indicative that she may not be willing to follow the directions of those in a position of authority. The Court also agrees with defense counsel that the defendant does have a First Amendment right to say what she believes to be the case regarding the courts and judges, and she is not faulted for making those representations, however it raises a question of whether she will take the courts seriously and it does appear that, based on her beliefs of the court system, she does not take the courts seriously. The Court does take into account the lack of any prior criminal history for the defendant shall be ordered detained pe
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an

United States Magistrate Judge

appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

11/08/2019

Date: